UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,721	02/09/2004	Ralf Jockers	FRAV2003/0005USNP	9535
5487 ANDREA Q. R	7590 05/02/200 YAN	EXAMINER		
SANOFI-AVE	NTIS U.S. LLC	WOLLENBERGER, LOUIS V		
1041 ROUTE 2 MAIL CODE: 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BRIDGEWATI	ER, NJ 08807	1635		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPatent.E-Filing@sanofi-aventis.com andrea.ryan@sanofi-aventis.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/774,721	JOCKERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Louis Wollenberger	1635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 /</u>	March 2008.						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-15,17,47, and 48</u> is/are pending i	n the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-15,17,47 and 48</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been receival (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/6/08 has been entered.

Status of Application/Amendment/Claims

Applicant's response filed 3/6/08 has been considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from the previous office action mailed 2/9/07 are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or are reiterated and are the only rejections and/or objections presently applied to the instant application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

With entry of the amendment filed on 3/6/08, claims 12–15, 17, 47, and 48 are pending and currently under examination.

Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to because the claim contains text previously deleted from the claim without proper markings and the appropriate status identifier to show reinstatement of the text. In the amendment filed 8/7/07, the phrase "expressing an" was stricken from the claim and the phrase "incorporating the" was added. In the amendment filed 3/6/08, the status of claim 14 is

presented as "Previously presented", but the claim contains the phrase "expressing an", and the newly reinstated phrase is not underlined.

Correction is required in reply to this Action.

For purposes of this examination, claim 14 is examined as presented on 8/7/07, as it is believed that the inclusion of the phrase "expressing an" is simply an unintentional formatting or typographical error.

Claim 14 as presented on 8/7/07 reads as follows: A vector incorporating the oligonucleotide as claimed in one of claims 12 or 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103—maintained

Claims 12–15, 17, 47, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bailleul et al. (US Patent Application 2003/0166847); Agrawal and Tang (WO 94/01550); Taylor et al. (1999) *Drug Discovery Today* 4:562–567; Bennet et al. (US Patent 5,998,148); and Baracchini et al. (US Patent 5,801,154) for the reasons set forth in the Action mailed 9/7/2005.

Claims 15 and 48 were not previously included in the rejection. However, the Examiner notes that Bailleul et al. taught antisense oligonucleotides targeted to the LRGRP gene, pharmaceutical compositions thereof, and vectors and methods for expressing antisense oligonucleotides in cells (paragraphs 128-138). Therefore, one of skill would immediately recognize that Bailleul et al. necessarily also taught the vector-containing and cell-based compositions thereof used for and produced by such applications. Thus, the dependent claims 15 and 48 do not patentably distinguish the invention over the prior art cited herein.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,721 Page 4

Art Unit: 1635

Response to Arguments

In the reply filed 3/6/08, Applicants argue the applied references fail to teach or suggest all the claim limitations, stating the "two structural features" of the self-stabilized antisense oligonucleotides taught by Agrawal et al. are not embraced by the instant claims.

This argument is not persuasive because the instant claims clearly embrace hairpin and non-hairpin (i.e., bimolecular) RNA oligonucleotides. As explained in earlier Actions, Agrawal et al. taught materials and methods for making and using double stranded (hairpin) oligonucleotides of 8 to 50 nucleotides in length for inhibiting the expression of virtually any known gene in cells in vitro and in vivo. The two-structural features Applicant refers to are in fact the target hybridizing (antisense) and self-complementary (sense) regions essential to the activity of the self-stabilized oligonucleotides. Agrawal et al. taught that the selfstabilized oligonucleotide may comprise RNA, DNA, or both RNA and DNA (page 16). The constructs, which are preferably 8-50 nucleotides in length (pp. 9-10), may be fully base-paired, in much the same way a conventional short hairpin RNA is, as shown by Fig. 5 therein. See compound C, for example. Prior and post-filing art teaches that when such constructs are composed of RNA, they trigger RNAi-mediated silencing of the complementary mRNA. See, for example, Yu et al. (2002) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 99:6047–6052, cited in the previous Action, stating and showing that short hairpin siRNAs can function like siRNA duplexes to inhibit gene expression in a sequence specific manner.

The combination of prior art cited herein suggests transfecting cells not only with the naked self-stabilized RNA constructs, but with vectors encoding such constructs, which would necessarily result in the expression of a short hairpin RNA. Therefore, the self-stabilized

constructs taught by Agrawal et al. meet all the structural limitations recited in the instant claims. The limitation "double-stranded RNA," recited in claim 13, does not distinguish over Agrawal et al. because hairpin RNAs are double stranded nonetheless.

Accordingly, the instant claims stand rejected as obvious over the instantly cited references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103—new

Claims 12-15, 17, 47, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bailleul et al. (1997) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25:2752-2758 in view of Tuschl et al. (US 2004/0259247 A1), Shi et al. (US 20030180756 A1), and Hannon (2002) *Nature* 418:244-251.

Bailleul et al. taught the mRNA (gene) sequence encoding human leptin receptor generelated protein, OB-RGRP (Fig. 1). The sequence, deposited into GenBank as Accession No. Y12670 and available online as of 15 July 1997, is identical to instantly recited SEQ ID NO:21, as shown by the alignment below.

Bailleul et al. taught that OB-RGRP mRNA is expressed in several human tissues (page 2755). Bailleul et al. suggest OB-RGRP mRNA could encode a protein involved in leptin signaling (page 2757,right column, bottom). It is said that leptin and leptin receptor play key roles in the regulation of body weight (page 2752, left column, first sentence).

Bailleul et al. do not teach interfering RNA targeted to OB-RGRP mRNA, nor vectors thereof.

Tuschl et al. taught methods and materials for making and using short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) of 21 to 23 nucleotides in length for inhibiting the expression of any known gene in mammalian cells in vitro and in vivo for research and therapeutic purposes (see specification, pp.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,721 Page 6

Art Unit: 1635

1-16). It is taught siRNAs are useful for determining the function of a gene in a cell or organism (paragraph 29-30, for example). Also disclosed are compositions comprising said interfering RNAs (paragraphs 31-33). Exemplary embodiments describing and illustrating RNA interference in mammalian cells are also disclosed (Fig. 10, paragraph 146). Tuschl et al. suggest that short interfering RNAs may be expressed from vectors upon delivery into cells (paragraph 39).

Shi et al. taught plasmid and viral-based vectors for stably expressing short hairpin RNA in mammalian cells in vitro and in vivo for the RNAi-mediated inhibition of any known gene (pp. 1-9 and Fig. 1, for example). The method is expressly designed to facilitate the delivery and stable, endogenous expression of short interfering RNAs of the type taught by Tuschl et al. (page 1). Also disclosed are cells and compositions comprising said shRNA-expression vectors (paragraph 13, pages 18-20, and Examples 4-6, pages 22-25).

Accordingly, each of the elements of the instantly claimed invention are disclosed in the prior art. The prior art specifically recommends using siRNA and shRNA, transfected directly or expressed endogenously from vector constructs, as research tools to investigate gene function in mammalian cells. The shared properties and general utilities of siRNA and shRNA were explicitly taught by Hannon (2002) *Nature* 418:244-251, who stated in 2002 that "RNAi has evolved into a powerful tool for probing gene function" (page 250). Hannon goes on to state that Tuschl and colleagues showed that by using siRNA, RNAi could be extended to mammalian cells. Hannon states further that by employing stable expression constructs such as those disclosed by Shi et al., RNAi may be used to induce phenotypic changes in cells in vitro and in vivo (page 250). Thus, it is clear that RNAi was considered by the prior art to represent an

Art Unit: 1635

effective and generally applicable experimental tool for probing gene function and manipulating gene expression in cells and organisms.

Page 7

Additionally, the sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:21---the mRNA encoding OB-RGRP---was known in the prior art. Moreover, there was reason to suspect its involvement in leptin signaling and body weight regulation. But even without such knowledge, there would have been implicit reason to investigate the biology of the sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:21, identified by Bailleul et al. as OB-RGRP, given that it is the normal desire of scientists to understand the biological role of each gene and translation product in the human genome. RNAi, a readily accessible and easily used method for silencing gene expression, was a well-established tool at the time of invention for investigating gene function.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time of invention to make and use siRNAs complementary to any known gene, including the OB-RGRP gene, SEQ ID NO:21, disclosed by Bailleul et al. One of skill would have been motivated to use the siRNAs to investigate the function of OB-RGRP in human cells and tissues, as generally directed by Tuschl et al. One of skill would have reasonably expected that the methods of Tuschl et al. and Shi et al. for making and using siRNAs and shRNA expression vectors could be applied to the study of OB-RGRP function, that the siRNAs and shRNA-expression vectors designed and prepared by such methods could be used to effectively inhibit OB-RGRP expression in cells in vitro and in vivo, and that such inhibition would yield information directly relevant to the function of said protein and gene. Given that the full-length sequence of OB-RGRP mRNA was known at the time of invention, and that Tuschl et al. and Shi et al. both taught the principles and methods for designing functional siRNAs (see siRNA User Guide in Tuschl et al., for example,

Art Unit: 1635

at paragraph 178-181), the skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of success in making and using said siRNAs and shRNAs for inhibition of OB-RGRP.

Page 8

Furthermore, all the claimed elements---the methods and materials for making and using siRNAs, shRNA expression vectors, host cells, and compositions thereof, and the OB-RGRP mRNA target sequence---were known in the prior art. One skilled in the art could have combined the elements by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results (i.e., inhibition of OB-RGRP expression) to one of ordinary skill in the art. *KSR*, 550 U.S. at _____, 82 USPQ2d at 1395.

Accordingly, in the absent of convincing evidence to the contrary, the instantly claimed invention would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

```
1114 bp
                                                       mRNA
DEFINITION
             Homo sapiens mRNA for leptin receptor gene-related protein.
ACCESSION
VERSION
              Y12670.1 GI:2266637
              leptin receptor gene-related protein; OB-R gene related protein;
KEYWORDS
SOURCE
              Homo sapiens (human)
             Homo sapiens
             Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi; Mammalia; Eutheria; Euarchontoglires; Primates; Haplorrhini;
              Catarrhini; Hominidae; Homo.
REFERENCE
  AUTHORS
              Bailleul, B., Akerblom, I. and Strosberg, A.D.
  TITLE
              The leptin receptor promoter controls expression of a second
              distinct protein
              Nucleic Acids Res. 25 (14), 2752-2758 (1997)
  JOURNAL
   PUBMED
              9207021
REFERENCE
                 (bases 1 to 1114)
              Bailleul.B.R.P.
  AUTHORS
              Direct Submission
              Submitted (17-APR-1997) B.R.P. Bailleul, UPR 0415 CNRS, 22 Rue
  JOURNAL
              Mechain, 75014 Paris, FRANCE
COMMENT
              This is a splice variant from the leptin receptor locus but this variant encodes for a unrelated leptin receptor protein transcribed
              from one promoter of the leptin receptor locus.
FEATURES
                         Location/Qualifiers
      source
                         /organism="Homo sapiens"
                        /mol_type="mRNA"
/db_xref="taxon:9606"
/chromosome="1"
                         /map="1p32"
/dev_stage="adult"
     gene
                         /gene="OB-RGRP"
                         join(1114)
     mRNA
                         /gene="OB-RGRP"
      exon
                         /gene="OB-RGRP"
                         /number=4
ORIGIN
```

Art Unit: 1635

	ocal :	100.0%; Score 1114; DB 5; Length 1114; Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 0; 4; Conservative 0; Mismatches 0; Indels 0; Gaps	0;
Qy	1	GTCTGGCTTGGGCAGGCTGCCCGGGCCCTGGCAGGAGCCGGAAGCAGCAGCCGCGGCCCCAG	60
Db	1	GTCTGGCTTGGGCAGGCTGCCCGGGCCGTGGCAGGAAGCCGGAAGCAGCCGCGGCCCCAG	60
Qy	61	TTCGGGAGACATGGCGGGCGTTAAAGCTCTCGTGGCATTATCCTTCAGTGGGGCTATTGG	120
Db	61	$\tt TTCGGGAGACATGGCGGGCGTTAAAGCTCTCGTGGCATTATCCTTCAGTGGGGCTATTGGGGCTATTGGGGGGGG$	120
Qу		ACTGACTTTTCTTATGCTGGGATGTGCCTTAGAGGATTATGGCGTTTACTGGCCCTTATT	
Db		${\tt ACTGACTTTCTTATGCTGGGATGTGCCTTAGAGGATTATGGCGTTTACTGGCCCTTATT}$	
Qy		CGTCCTGATTTTCCACGCCATCTCCCCCATCCCCATTTCATTGCCAAAAGAGTCACCTA	
Db		CGTCCTGATTTTCCACGCCATCTCCCCCATCCCCCATTTCATTGCCAAAAGAGTCACCTA	
Qy		TGACTCAGATGCAACCAGTAGTGCCTGTCGGGAACTGGCATATTTCTTCACTACTGGAAT	
Db		TGACTCAGATGCAACCAGTAGTGCCTGTCGGGAACTGGCATATTTCTTCACTACTGGAAT TGTTGTTTCTGCCTTTGGATTTCCTGTTATTCTTGCTCTGTGGCTGTGATCAAATGGGG	
Qy		TGTTGTTTCTGCCTTTGGATTTCCTGTTATTCTTGCTGGTGTGGCTGTGATCAAATGGGG	
Qy		AGCCTGCGGCCTTGTGTTGGCAGGCAATGCAGTCATTTTCCTTACAATTCAAGGGTTTTT	
Db		AGCCTGCGGCCTTGTGTTGGCAGGCAATGCAGTCATTTTCCTTACAATTCAAGGGTTTTT	
Qy		CCTTATATTTGGAAGAGGAGATGATTTTAGCTGGGAGCAGTGGTAGCACTTTATTCTGAT	
Db	421		480
Qy	481	TACAGTGCATTGAATTTCTTAGAACTCATACTATCTGTATACATGTGCACATGCGGCATT	540
Db	481	TACAGTGCATTGAATTTCTTAGAACTCATACTATCTGTATACATGTGCACATGCGGCATT	540
Qy	541	TTACTATGAAATTTAATATGCTGGGTTTTTTAATACCTTTATATATCATGTTCACTTTAA	600
Db	541	TTACTATGAAATTTAATATGCTGGGTTTTTTAATACCTTTATATATCATGTTCACTTTAA	600
Qy	601	GAAAGACTTCATAAGTAGGAGATGAGTTTTATTCTCAGCAAATAGACCTGTCAAATTTAG	660
Db	601	GAAAGACTTCATAAGTAGGAGATGAGTTTTATTCTCAGCAAATAGACCTGTCAAATTTAG	660
Qу	661	ATTATGTTACTCAAATTATGTTACTTGTTTGGCTGTTCATGTAGTCACGGTGCTCTCAGA	720
Db	661	ATTATGTTACTCAAATTATGTTACTTGTTTGGCTGTTCATGTAGTCACGGTGCTCTCAGA	720
Qу	721	AAATATATTAACGCAGTCTTGTAGGCAGCTGCCACCTTATGCAGTGCATCGAAACCTTTT	780
Db	721	${\tt AAATATATTAACGCAGTCTTGTAGGCAGCTGCCACCTTATGCAGTGCATCGAAACCTTTT}$	780
Qy	781	GCTTGGGGATGTGCTTGGAGAGAGCAGATAACGCTGAAGCAGGCCTCTCATGACCCAGGAA	840
Db		GCTTGGGGATGTGCTTGGAGAGGCAGATAACGCTGAAGCAGGCCTCTCATGACCCAGGAA	
QУ		GGCCGGGGTGGATCCCTCTTTGTGTTGTAGTCCATGCTATTAAAAGTGTGGCCCACAGAC	
Db		GGCCGGGGTGGATCCCTCTTTGTGTTGTAGTCCATGCTATTAAAAGTGTGGCCCACAGAC	
Qy		CAAGAGCCTCAACATTTCCTAGAGCCTTATTAGAAATGCAGAATCTGAAGCCCCACTCTG	
Db		CAAGAGCCTCAACATTTCCTAGAGCCTTATTAGAAATGCAGAATCTGAAGCCCCACTCTG	
Qy		GACCCAGGACATTTGATGAGATCCAAAGGAGTTGTATGCACATGAAAGTTTGAGAAGCA	
Db		GACCCAGGACATTTTGATGAGATCCAAAGGAGTTGTATGCACATGAAAGTTTGAGAAGCA TCATCATAGAGAAGTAAACATCACACCCAACTTCCTTATCTTTCCAGTGGCTAAACCACT	
Qy Db		TCATCATAGAGAAGTAAACATCACACCCAACTTCCTTATCTTTCCAGTGGCTAAACCACT	
Qy		TAACCTCTCGGGTGTTACCTGCTCATTTGTTTA 1114	1000
Db		TAACCTCTCTGGGTGTTACCTGCTCATTTGTTTA 1114	

Application/Control Number: 10/774,721 Page 10

Art Unit: 1635

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Louis Wollenberger whose telephone number is (571)272-8144.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz can be reached on (571)272-0763. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Louis Wollenberger/ Examiner, Art Unit 1635

April 29, 2008